

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone

De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: martes, 31 de mayo de 2005 13:36
Para: PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw; TedTung@aiptek.com.tw; JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw
CC: Attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy
Asunto: RV: About the Mr. Ben Lee' email out of context and FIFTH REITERATION of u\$s 10.350 RECLAMATION
Importancia: Alta
Carácter: Confidencial
Datos adjuntos: Textual Fair Trade Law.pdf; 20050517 - Control of resources - AIPTEK.pdf; 20050522 - Peter Chen - Ted Tung - Jacky Chen.pdf; Messages with Peter Chen and Ben Lee.pdf; Attorney at Law Report about trial against AIPTEK.pdf

Montevideo, Tuesday May 31st, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.
Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)
Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

Dear Gentlemen:

Regrettably I should admit to you that I am doubly surprised by the disconcerting attitude of AIPTEK in front of our reclamation.

In the first place, I have not received any answer from you, the leaders of AIPTEK upon our reclamation of U\$S 10.350,00 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.) although I am certain that the whole documentation has been sent to you, including our actual position and the legal report, in reiterated opportunities (this it is the sixth reiteration). Sincerely I hoped AIPTEK offered another management to this matter, regarding the records.

In second place, I notice with astonishment that Honorable Mr. Ben Lee has not been informed by you about the current situation (update of amount claimed, legal report content, etc), since according to the apparent thing, he continues waiting an answer on an agreement that him same there is unfulfilled.

As Me personally had already informed to Honorable Mr. Ben Lee that I won't have more correspondence with him, because I found their last email offensive for my intelligence, and if there is really interest on behalf of AIPTEK in reaching a friendly agreement, I lowly suggest to you, if you could copy this email to Honorable Mr. Ben Lee.

Also, I continue waiting an answer to our reclamation that we have not still received. I should also clarify that as the time advances, every time will be more expensive (for obth) to make a friendly agreement, and it will be more probable than our Attorneys (that are working in the case) they complete the formal legal demand presentation.

For your information, I am forwarding you the email that the Honorable Mr. Ben Lee has sent me, as well as our Lawyer's suggestions that it advises us in this matter.

Reiterating my good disposition once again to solve this matter friendly in immediate form, I greets you sincerely

EAC - Etchegaray Associate Consultants

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

**System Analyst . Organization & Methods Analyst
 Technological consultant - System Auditor
 Business and Market Development**

Office Address: Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo – Uruguay

Phone: +598 (2) 9006010 - **Telefax:** +598 (2) 9032985

Mobile Cellular: +598 (99) 592802

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Professional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

MSN to chat on line exclusively (voice and image - Videoconference):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com - etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)



De: Attorneys At Law [mailto:attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: lunes, 30 de mayo de 2005 18:01
Para: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy
Asunto: About the Mr. Ben Lee' email out of context
Importancia: Alta
Carácter: Confidencial

Dear Mr. Etchegaray:

I have read this short email from Mr. BenLee, and seemingly the same one is absolutely outside of the current context in which we are now. It is possible that Mr. Lee has not received a copy of the email that you sent Peter Chen ?

It is really not very probable or believable.

However, and to dissipate all the doubts, I suggest that you send a copy of this email again, to Mr. Peter Chen, Ted Tung and Jacky Chen, including a brief commentary about the no answer previous, and and suggesting to these high executives from AIPTEK inform or forward to Mr. Lee, so that he is informed about the actual situation that he caused for not having paid when EAC requested it, that which determined made fall the previous agreement, and also the new amount that now EAC is claiming (based on a friendly agreement) of U\$S 10.350,00 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.).

Finally, and in relation to the agreement of right to it uses, the own documentation leaves in undoubtedly EAC has already manifested its will of subscribing the agreement that AIPTEK edits in correct terms, and that it has not still received, but subject to the condition (due to the records of reiterated nonfulfillments) that first AIPTEK pays the U\$S 10.350 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.).

Kind regards
Attorneys At Law
Dr. J.P.Bengoa
attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy

De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [mailto:etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: lunes, 30 de mayo de 2005 11:12
Para: Attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy
Asunto: RV: Your claim
Importancia: Alta

Dear Dr. Bengoa:

I have just received this email from Mr. BenLee.

Etchegaray Consultores Asociados

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

Analista de Sistemas y Organización y Métodos - Asesor Tecnológico
Auditor de Sistemas - Desarrollo de Mercados y Negocios

Oficinas :

Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo - Uruguay

Teléfono: +598 (2) 9006010

Telefax: +598 (2) 9032985

Celular : +598 (99) 592802

Particular:

Convención 1554 Apto. 102 - 11100 Montevideo - Uruguay

Telefax: +598 (2) 9080490

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Profesional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

Chat On line (voz e imagen videoconferencia):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com

etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)

De: BenLee[??] [mailto:BenLee@aiptek.com.tw]

29/05/2005

Enviado el: domingo, 29 de mayo de 2005 13:13
Para: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy
CC: CharlinChen[???]
Asunto: Your claim

Dear Mr. Etchegaray,

Before my trip abroad, we were at an agreement of settling the issue. Yet I asked you to agree with us on the full right of your documents, which so far, I have not received it.

I therefore will be happy to pay the amount, if the agreement of right to use is received.

Await your reply.

Ben Lee

De: Attorneys At Law [mailto:attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: viernes, 27 de mayo de 2005 20:07
Para: 'PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw'; 'TedTung@aiptek.com.tw'; 'JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw'
CC: 'etchegaray@adinet.com.uy'
Asunto: RV: FOURTH REITERATION. Updating to U\$S 10.350,00 our original birdcall for "Unfair and abusive business practices" dated April 13rd,2005 to Peter Chen.
Importancia: Alta
Carácter: Confidencial

Montevideo, Friday May 27th, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.
Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)
Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

Dear Gentlemen:

This is the fifth email for the reclamation of the U\$S 10.350 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.) from EAC and the FOURTH REITERATION.

Attorneys At Law
attorneysatlaw@adinet.com.uy

De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [mailto:etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: jueves, 26 de mayo de 2005 17:01
Para: 'PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw'; 'TedTung@aiptek.com.tw'; 'JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw'
Asunto: RV: THIRD REITERATION. Updating to U\$S 10.350,00 our original birdcall for "Unfair and abusive business practices" dated April 13rd,2005 to Peter Chen.
Importancia: Alta
Carácter: Confidencial

Montevideo, Thursday May 26th, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.
Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)
Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

Dear Gentlemen:

29/05/2005

This is my fourth email for the reclamation of the U\$S 10.350 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.) and our 3er reiteration.

As I have not received any answer of you, neither any reception confirmation acknowledging the receipt of the remitted material, I think that you have not received the email that I reiterate, since I find difficult to believe that at this level of high executives, You don't have the minimum kindness to respond, as regrettably it has already happened me in the close past.

For this same reason, I am reiterating this same email to other people of the organization of AIPTEK, with the hope that some of the addressees can receive the material, and have the kindness to be made it arrive to some of you that are the high executives of AIPTEK.

From now on, I apologize you the of the case, if in some way this procedure can bother to your organization, but I hope you understand that my goal is to obtain a concrete answer of AIPTEK regarding my I outline, and for it I should have the certainty that you have received the whole corresponding material to analyze.

I also take advantage of the opportunity to thank to the addressees that receive this material that they have the kindness to forward it to those Sirs. Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President) Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Jacky Chen (Public Relation).

Thanking your quick answer and confirmation that received the material, greets you sincerely

EAC - Etchegaray Associate Consultants

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

**System Analyst . Organization & Methods Analyst - Technological consultant
System Auditor - Business and Market Development**

Office Address: Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo - Uruguay

Phone: +598 (2) 9006010 - **Telefax:** +598 (2) 9032985 - **Mobile Cellular:** +598 (99) 592802

Home Address: Convención 1554 Apto. 102 - 11100 Montevideo - Uruguay

Telefax: +598 (2) 9080490

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Profesional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

MSN to chat on line exclusively (voice and image - Videoconference):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com

etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)

De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [mailto:etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]

Enviado el: miércoles, 25 de mayo de 2005 20:11

Para: 'PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw'; 'TedTung@aiptek.com.tw'; 'JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw'

Asunto: RV: SECOND REITERATION. Updating to U\$S 10.350,00 our original birdcall for "Unfair and abusive business practices" dated April 13rd,2005 to Peter Chen.

Importancia: Alta

Carácter: Confidencial

Montevideo, Wednesday May 25th, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.

Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)

Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)

Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

Yesterday, Tuesday May 22th, 2005, I sent to you, Our FIRST REITERATION of our previous email dated Sunday 22nd, 2005 related with the topic "Unfair and abusive business practices" from AIPTEK against EAC.

Today's afternoon, I have come back to my companies and I have maintained a meeting with my associates regarding the

29/05/2005

topic that involves the arbitrary actions and unfair business practices that AIPTEK has achieved reiterated opportunities against EAC.

Also, I have verified with a lot of pain that until today, AIPTEK has not answered neither acknowledgement of receipt of the emails that previously I had sent you.

As my email it was also administered from Montevideo, I thought that it could happen that some message that I had not received had arrived, as it happened us, although it was not from AIPTEK.

In the meeting of EAC that I maintained in the afternoon, they were present my associates and a representative from the Attorneys At Law Firm that advise us.

A primary evaluation of the cost was made that would mean for EAC to advance in this topic against AIPTEK, numbers were managed, effort, dedication, in short, an entire series of investments that instead of being dedicated to BUILD business, they would be dedicated to try to recover the received damage, which is even bigger when not having answer of AIPTEK every day that passes.

It is very evident for me, that any figure that we manage as consequence of this affair it will be a not very significant figure for AIPTEK. It is so much bigger the size of AIPTEK in front of ours that we know that AIPTEK perfectly can absorb a loss of U\$S 50.000 (fifty thousand American dollars), U\$S 100.000 (a hundred thousand American dollars) and until U\$S 1:000.000 (a million American dollars) in oneself fiscal exercise. AIPTEK is very powerful.

However, I don't UNDERSTAND WHY AIPTEK doesn't respond, doesn't answer the emails that it receives, to confirm to us our position, and one way or another we can make a decision on as following this.

As us we have not received ANY answer (favorable or unfavorable), us (EAC) we don't have certainty about if AIPTEK has received and analyzed our email or not.

This problem derives in the fact that once a step is taken in judicial instances that have high costs, the friendly agreements they are also more expensive.

As I have explained previously, I am in favor of agreeing and not of contesting. However, I am not for this reason willing to wait an answer eternally.

In consequence, and requesting the excuses of the case, I make a new intent in re-sending this email, hoping you respond us verifying if the same one has been received appropriately and if it goes or not to have place to an agreement.

I think that for a reason of elementary courtesy, AIPTEK owes us at least that answer. If we don't obtain an answer, we will think that AIPTEK has not received our email, and therefore, as we have already made in previous opportunities, we will re-send the same email to several members of the company with the objective that some of them receives it, and they makes it to to arrive to the high executives of the company so that they answer us.

I hope to receive an answer tomorrow on this topic, which I will thank you a lot.

Attentive greetings

EAC - Etchegaray Associate Consultants

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

**System Analyst . Organization & Methods Analyst - Technological consultant
System Auditor - Business and Market Development**

Office Address: Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo - Uruguay

Phone: +598 (2) 9006010 - **Telefax:** +598 (2) 9032985 - **Mobile Cellular:** +598 (99) 592802

Home Address: Convención 1554 Apto. 102 - 11100 Montevideo - Uruguay

Telefax: +598 (2) 9080490

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Profesional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

MSN to chat on line exclusively (voice and image - Videoconference):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com

etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)

29/05/2005

De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [mailto:etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: martes, 24 de mayo de 2005 20:14
Para: 'PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw'; 'TedTung@aiptek.com.tw'; 'JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw'
Asunto: Updating to U\$S 10.350,00 our original birdcall for "Unfair and abusive business practices" dated April 13rd,2005 to Peter Chen. FIRST REITERATION
Importancia: Alta

Asunción, May 24rd, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.
Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)
Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

Last Sunday May 22nd, 2005, I sent to you, all the records related with the topic "Unfair and abusive business practices" from AIPTEK against EAC.

At the end of my extensive email, I requested you that please you sent me an acknowledgement of receipt that the whole remitted documentation arrived to your hands, for in that way to have the security that the material was in process.

Today is my last night in Paraguay, (tomorrow an afternoon I will already be in Montevideo).

As I have not received any email from none of you answering mine, I think that it is possible that there has been some communication problem.

For that reason, I send you again the email again, requesting again you that they confirm to me, if indeed you have received the whole content.

It is very important for me to have this certainty. Tomorrow I will have a meeting with my associates in Montevideo, to make a decision on this topic, and I would want to have an answer on this topic, since I don't want to pass at the following level if I am attempting a friendly agreement.

Thanking your attention once again and kindness greets you

EAC - Etchegaray Associate Consultants

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

System Analyst . Organization & Methods Analyst
Technological consultant - System Auditor
Business and Market Development

Office Address: Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo – Uruguay

Phone: +598 (2) 9006010 - **Telefax:** +598 (2) 9032985

Mobile Cellular: +598 (99) 592802

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Profesional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

MSN to chat on line exclusively (voice and image - Videoconference):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com - etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)



De: A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray Avallone [mailto:etchegaray@adinet.com.uy]
Enviado el: lunes, 23 de mayo de 2005 1:40
Para: PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw; TedTung@aiptek.com.tw; JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw
Asunto:
Importancia: Alta

Asunción, May 22nd, 2005

AIPTEK International Inc.
Honorable Mr. Peter Chen (CEO & President)
Honorable Mr. Ted Tung (Vice President and Spokesman)
Honorable Jacky Chen (Public Relation)

No. 19 Industry E. Rd. IV, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsin-Chu 300, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Tel: +886 (3) 5678138 x 8014 - **Fax:** +886 (3) 5771364

29/05/2005

E-mails: PeterChen@aiptek.com.tw; TedTung@aiptek.com.tw; JackyChen@aiptek.com.tw

Website: www.aiptek.com.tw

Reference: Updating to U\$S 10.350,00 our original birdcall for "Unfair and abusive business practices" dated April 13rd, 2005 to Peter Chen.

Honorables High Executives from AIPTEK International Inc

I bother your attention again, as well as that of the main executives of AIPTEK, making a new and last effort to reach a friendly agreement with their company, to recover in some way, ia portion of the enormous effort, time and money that EAC has invested in your company, and whose only compensation has been to receive from AIPTEK an offense after another.

If you analyze my professional trajectory, and if you have a little more than time and you also analyze the abundant correspondence that I have maintained with AIPTEK and that I am attaching you, you will surely be able to notice that all my formation, my professional activity and my lifestyle have been focused in **"to BUILD."**

I am a **builder**. I build projects, systems, distribution channels, factories, markets, always with the purpose of generating growth, satisfaction and benefits for who hire me and, of course to myself. All my life I have tried that my acts and my environment were positive and I should admit that the only fact to think of acting in another way, it go against my nature and it puts me of a terrible humor.

I think sincerely that my POSITIVE, BUILDER AND CONCILIATORY spirit, it has not been taken advantage from AIPTEK, but just the opposite.

AIPTEK shows at the present time, with its continuous abusive predicament, the unhappy privilege of putting on to me of a terrible humor, that which given my personality is a regrettable miracle.

This situation has not only affected economically to me, but also personally, in my health and in relation to my other associates in the company.

As I sensed that this could happen, I have attempted without success but with all my determination, to avoid arriving to a confrontation point without return against AIPTEK.

It is opportune to recall that I didn't look for AIPTEK, but it was in fact just the opposite, AIPTEK looked for me. In these moments, I have been deeply offended for AIPTEK who has corroded my forces and my finance only to damage my organization.

Now, my associates claim me the recovery of the resources invested in AIPTEK to build a relationship, as well as the corroded resources wasted later, trying to get paid and to recover something through a friendly agreement.

Mr. Ben Lee's attitude of not paying the arranged amount when we request it to him reiterated times and in the best terms, it determined that my associates already completely indignant against AIPTEK they appealed to request the advice of one of the most qualified Attorney at Law Firm in Uruguay, with international experience, to brake the losses and to take a belligerent position against AIPTEK.

Consequently, we have now also to pay the honoraria of the received advice, all that which has limited my action capacity terribly and increased the amount of money that we will recover from AIPTEK.

This means that a situation that could be solved easily, and with very little money, and on which AIPTEK has already recognized several times its responsibility, now it will imply a bigger cost unavoidably for both companies, also adding the risk of finishing in a legal confrontation.

As I commented to Mr. Ben Lee, I am already in a business trip at Paraguay. The night of last Tuesday May 17th, I received from my company, two documents (that I am enclosed of this email) that have been very developing for me.

The first document (3 pages), is a detail of the hours that I had invested until beginning my trip, in assisting AIPTEK, to develop the Preliminary Business Plan, then to maintain the relationship, finally to try to get paid, then to negotiate an agreement until finally again AIPTEK didn't fulfill.

The second document, is a legal report of 10 pages, plus a copy of 19 pages of the Fair Trade Law of the R.O.C. that has had for us a cost of U\$S 3.600,00 (three thousand six hundred dollars U.S.A.) for legal advice, and that sincerely we advise to AIPTEK that reads it in depth.

I have always thought that the lawyers specialized in commercial topics, only intervene when the cunning of the parts in conflict has not been the sufficiently intelligent thing to avoid its intervention, that is to say when a part is abusing openly over the other one. Regrettably, that it is the case of AIPTEK regarding EAC.

It is clear that I have not been formed to contest neither to fight this way, since then I would be a Lawyer (something very far from my spirit). Besides this whole panorama, when my associates read the report, they recriminate me that if we had gone to the Lawyers one month ago, this topic would be already resolved

In Uruguay, the services of a Junior Attorney, have a cost of U\$S 75,00 (seventy five dollars U.S.A.) the working hour, and it is clear that as the topics are more important, this cost ascends. This case required of a International Commercial Legal specialized professionals, with linkings in Taiwan, and with experience in similar cases.

This legal report establishes that EAC has legal rights over PTEK, which can be claimed legally without more risk, since also AIPTEK has recognized several times this fact.

For 4 nights, I have read and re-read one and another time the material that I am attaching you, together with all the previous emails that are more than 50 and it seems really incredible that AIPTEK has taken the things to this end.

In this the fight of David (EAC) against Goliath (AIPTEK), Goliath is not leaving us another exit that to fight, and I don't WANT to FIGHT UNLESS I will be sure that IS NOT ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE.

Sincerely, I am VERY TIRED that AIPTEK has offended me so many times, as well as that I have had to wear away me to claim the fruit of our effort the one which also, it was developed to build a good relationship with AIPTEK, and not for generate a conflict.

For all these arguments, I am making this new and last intent with you. All my life has tried with executives of the technological area. If you are to the front of AIPTEK it is in fact because you are not a fool, but an intelligent person.

29/05/2005

I want to think that to be this a smaller theme, you didn't put it bigger attention, and you delegated it to subordinate that, seemingly they have confused a positive, friendly person and that it looks for agreements (Me), with a complete stupid.

I am possibly wrong but I don't think that I am a fool. However, if so that AIPTEK understands that I am not a fool, we only have the alternative of fighting legally for our legitimate rights, AIPTEK should not have the smallest doubt that we will fight actively until we recover as minimum, what corresponds us for right and it has been swindled.

I suppose that you neither are fools, and you will understand that AIPTEK one has already lost it magnifies opportunity to reach an excellent agreement, then I suppose that now you won't waste the opportunity to make at least, a good agreement.

If this doesn't occur now, possibly in some months later on, when the trial has advanced, does AIPTEK look for an agreement, which will simply be more difficult and more expensive for both, will both companies be since more committed with legal topics and lawyers than they will want to charge and collect their honorary, isn't it?.

A) The legal report and the Fair Trade Law

Attached to this email, you will be able to read the complete legal report that I have received, and that it has been the reason of my troubles and reflections in the last days.

You will understand that being of trip for important business, with interviews the whole day, I have only had time for their analysis in the night, and really this has perturbed my dream.

I have meditated a lot about this topic, and for that reason recently yesterday Saturday and today Sunday (that have something of tranquility), I have been able to dedicate time to write and I have decided to send this new agreement proposal updated and with new judgement elements that without a doubt will be very interesting for your evaluation.

I feel very satisfied to have the confirmation of legal specialists that they inform that I have acted correctly, as well as that AIPTEK has acted systematically bad.

This legal report suggests besides proposing a new intent of agreement, a route to continuing to achieve the objective of the final collection of an amount of money that has not been fixed yet.

Nevertheless, according to the comments of the Lawyers to my associates, whereas clause the fault recognition, moral damage caused, loss of profit, agreement nonfulfillment agreement and only GOD knows how many you things more, it can be an important figure for Uruguay although, surely minimum or tiny for AIPTEK that sells more than U\$S 130 millions a year.

AIPTEK already lost the "gold opportunity" to reach a friendly agreement. Now I am establishing again, a "silver opportunity" for this topic.

We want to explain to AIPTEK that every minute that EAC invests in this topic, it will be collected to AIPTEK, that each legal action will generate honoraria that they will finish being financed by AIPTEK that will also make a surely superior expense on its behalf to defend.

In short, with my constructive and positive vision, I think that all this will be a great waste of resources and money for both companies.

For this reason, I suggest with humility but firmness to AIPTEK that takes the time and the work of analyzing the enclosed documents, making the legal consultations that it understands corresponding and making a definitive decision that we will thank to you that it is communicated next week to us.

I will also thank to you that have the tact and kindness of understanding that this topic, originally was a commercial topic, then it turn a topic of money, later on it became a personal topic, when AIPTEK not completing the agreement, You have left me bad in front of my associates, and therefore, I would want you to pass again to the land where it was originated, the commercial land.

I am not of spirit to receive any offense more from AIPTEK, and as well as I have been reiterated education samples, cordiality and respect by the intelligence of my occasional spokesman, I wait a similar treatment from AIPTEK.

I reiterate that in the most intimate in my human being, I would simply want that this whole topic had simply been a confusion, and that in the future, both companies can speak on the whole of business and of as distributing utilities, instead of thinking as trying to get paid.

Finally, it is important that AIPTEK understands that if we request a legal advice on how to solve a matter, and we pay in and of itself, it is clearly because we have full trust in our advisory ones and consequently it is very possible that follow the advice that have given us absolutely.

B) Upgrading the numbers.

In the schedule titled "Control of resources" that was remitted by my associates, appears a complete detail of the resources invested for EAC in AIPTEK until now.

As my associates informed me, my working hours were valued to only U\$S 50,00 (fifty dollars U.S.A.) that is the work hour of Senior Analyst, and not that of my function as Director of the company.

For the time being, my objective is to recover the investment and not to enrich with the situation, since this it is a new intent of friendly agreement.

In that schedule they don't figure the hours that I have invested in analyzing this situation, neither the insomnia that this has caused me, neither the form in that this comes affecting my business trip, neither any item related with a judicial demand, neither clearing the time that has taken me to edit this new proposal that has been is neither of almost all my Saturday and Sunday, that were the days that I should use to rest and to recover of a week of intense work.

Also in the schedule the U\$S 3.600,00 (three thousand six hundred dollars U.S.A.) that we have invested in legal advice have been included.

Since I understood that this report is clear, and I eat my associates they in fact requested it in English so that AIPTEK could read it, I am sending it to you so that you meditate about to it.

The updated figure of our birdcall that EXCLUSIVELY includes the detailed items until I wrote this email, it is of U\$S 10.350,00 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.)

That is the figure that now, my lawyers and my associates hope to receive during next week to solve this matter friendly.

C) Our proposal of friendly agreement

Given the concern that Mr. Ben Lee manifested regarding our reliability, since it conditioned the payment to that we authorized the use on behalf of AIPTEK of the Preliminary Business Plan, that which I interpreted as a new offense more since up to now it has been AIPTEK who never completed anything of what promised, I propose the following that will only be executed provided AIPTEK has accepted to make the payment claimed in next week.

C.1) Authorization for AIPTEK

If AIPTEK confirms us that it will pay, I suggest that AIPTEK sent myself a model of the letter that wants that EAC write to offer you the assurance about the use and benefit of the work that it has already in its hand, our Preliminary Business Plan.

That letter will be analyzed by our lawyers and if it is correct, once we have received the money according to the instructions that appropriately impart in our previous email to Ben Lee, it will be sent you by Courier via FEDEX.

I will return to Montevideo next Wednesday May 25th, 2005, for what I will be able to collect the transfer funds, as much on Thursday as on Friday.

C.2) Recognition Letter from AIPTEK

In compensation, and since it is our interest to maintain good relationships with our customers, and since AIPTEK will use our "Preliminary Business Plan", we request that then that AIPTEK has received our authorization for FEDEX, AIPTEK sent us a letter of gratefulness and recognition for our collaboration for the same way (FEDEX).

If it facilitates to AIPTEK in something, gladly we can compose the terms of the note, so that you approve it, although it is a simple text, far from all commercial problem, and to the only effect of our records.

C.3) Term to reach a friendly agreement

We propose a term to reach an agreement with AIPTEK that concludes next Wednesday May 25th, 2005.

We think that given the infrastructure of AIPTEK and the elements that we are contributing, 3 days are now enough so that AIPTEK achieves the consultations that it understands pertinent and have the kindness to give us a definitive answer that should be very simple: YES or NOT.

There is no longer more space for any negotiation, since due to the records of systematic non fulfillments; we don't want to invest more resources in a non conducive conversation.

As this topic it has already been very prolonged, we are setting a maximum term to solve this matter of one week. This means that independently of the exchange of correspondence that takes place, EAC should collect U\$S 10.350 (ten a thousand three hundred fifty dollars U.S.A.) as last date on Friday May 27th, at Montevideo.

C.4) important Explanation

The whole negotiation that we propose, is subordinated to that we collect the money, no more far of next Friday May 27th, 2005 at Montevideo.

If in spite of reaching a friendly agreement, the money is not collected in the suitable term, then the whole negotiation will be given had concluded and there won't be more opportunities of friendly agreement.

Also, after that date, we will upgrade the amount again with the resources that have been invested, and if there is not agreement, we will continue with the actions that we understand pertinent, taking a crystalline accounting of the expenses that they will be claimed until the last dollar to AIPTEK.

D) Payment instructions

AIPTEK can make the funds transfer through the systems MoneyGram or Western Union, that which for the difference of hours (Hsin Chu is later on 11 hours that us) it would make that on Monday May 9th, at first hour of the morning, I would already have the available money to collect.

Once I have made the collection in same day, I will send you an immediate confirmation and then... both we will file this matter definitively.

Next I detail you the address of the Banks that they work with this immediate system of payment in your city Hsin Chu, to facilitate you this work.

MoneyGram
International



01)BANK OF OVERSEAS CHINESE 28Hours of Operation

Phone: +886 (3) 5226617
321 PEI TA RD
HSIN CHU, TAIWAN 300

MON - FRI : 09:00 AM to 03:30 PM

02)BANK OF OVERSEAS CHINESE 32Hours of Operation

Phone: +886 (2) 85221188
67 SEC1 CHUNG SHAN RD
HSIN CHUANG CITY, TAIWAN 242

MON - FRI : 09:00 AM to 03:30 PM

03)BANK OF OVERSEAS CHINESE 58Hours of Operation

Phone: +886 (3) 5633600
 647 SEC1 KWANG FU RD
 HSIN CHU, TAIWAN 300

MON – FRI : 09:00 AM to 03:30 PM



04)CATHAY UNITED BANK

Hours of Operation

Phone: +886 (3) 5241111

307 PEI-TE ROAD

MON – FRI : 09:00 AM to 03:30 PM

HSIN CHU, TAIWAN

E) Finally

We hope AIPTEK reflects on that acted, on what the spokesman interveners have already written, and we will thank that this topic is not derived any other person of your company that some of those that we have selected.

We suppose that if we are speaking with the executives of higher range of a corporation like it is AIPTEK, their word that moves millions of dollars, it won't be stained by confusion, neither you will lose time in delays for sums that without a doubt, they are ridiculous in front of the cost of their productivity.

Independently of the internal managements that AIPTEK achieves to process our reclamation, I request to you that have the kindness to send us a brief email, in which you simply confirm us that you have received the whole material that we have sent you now.

That confirmation, gives us the tranquility that the material has arrived correct and completely to destination. We wait that email as soon as possible.

I greet you with our highest respect

EAC - Etchegaray Associate Consultants

A/P Pedro J. Etchegaray

**System Analyst . Organization & Methods Analyst
 Technological consultant - System Auditor
 Business and Market Development**

Office Address: Santiago de Chile 1286 - 11200 Montevideo – Uruguay

Phone: +598 (2) 9006010 - **Telefax:** +598 (2) 9032985

Mobile Cellular: +598 (99) 592802

Email: etchegaray@adinet.com.uy

Web Profesional - Professional Web Site: <http://www.etchegaray.webya.com.uy>

MSN to chat on line exclusively (voice and image - Videoconference):

etchegarayconsultores@hotmail.com - etchegarayconsultores@yahoo.es

Skype: [etchegarayconsultores](#)



Enclosed:	Attorney at Law Report about trial EAC against AIPTEK (10 pages)
	Textual Fair Trade Law (19 pages)
	20050517 - Control of resources – AIPTEK (3 pages)
	Messages with Peter Chen and Ben Lee (29 pages)